

# DIGITAL EDUCATIONAL GAME FOR OLDER ADULTS: “LIVE WELL, LIVE HEALTHY!”

Louise Sauvé<sup>1</sup>, Lise Renaud<sup>2</sup>, David Kaufman<sup>3</sup>, Emmanuel Dupl  a<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Education, TELUQ, University of Quebec / SAVIE (Canada)

<sup>2</sup> Communication and Health, UQAM, (Canada)

<sup>3</sup> Education, Simon Fraser University (Canada)

<sup>4</sup> Education, University of Ottawa (Canada)

## Abstract

**What do we know about the ergonomic conditions that are needed to create an effective online educational game for seniors? Research is still limited concerning these conditions. As a research development, we have developed an educational game "Live Well, Live Healthy" that we experimented on with 27 seniors. The results of the study have validated some ergonomic conditions in terms of design, educational readability and user-friendliness.**

Keywords: Educational games, seniors, validation, design, user-friendliness, educational readability.

## INTRODUCTION

Take a second to imagine these people lost in front minuscule texts that appear on the Internet, images and animations they do not know how to stop, browsers and tabs that open "by themselves". For beginners, young and old, it is not easy to master the Internet when you first begin. So what about our seniors?

Many are the people over the age of 60 who use the Internet and its games. In fact, in Canada, 45% of people over 55 play online. Several studies have shown that the equipment and Web tools at the disposal of these seniors do not facilitate appropriation. According to Wu et al [1], the majority of digital games they studied, do not match the needs of seniors and most are more or less appropriate for the physical and cognitive limitations of this population.

Facilitating the appropriation of Internet technologies is a goal all the more important since they are now able to support but also improve the daily lives of seniors. They allow seniors to exercise or stimulate their cognitive abilities through the use of educational games (Benhamou [2]). However, these benefits will not be realized for seniors unless the games are accessible, useful and ergonomic.

The appropriation of a technology, that of online games, requires that a person intends to use this technology and that the user-friendliness of the technology is appropriate for the user: the technology should not be too difficult to use (Barnard [3]). Several researchers have attempted to use commercial digital games in their investigations with seniors, while others have adapted games or have done research to establish requirements for digital games for seniors. However, research is still limited about the ergonomic requirements of online games created for seniors (Pham and Theng [4]).

Given that research on designing games for seniors is still limited, the goal of this developmental research is to examine, through the use of online games designed for seniors, the key factors for the effective implementation of digital games for this audience. This study is funded by an Insight Grant from Canada's Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council.

This paper first presents the problem of study. Second, the pedagogical and technological adaptations of the online educational game, "Live Well, Live Healthy!" are described. This adaptation of the Bingo game has allowed us to introduce learning content with the objective of improving the quality of life for seniors. Third, the methodology used for the validation of the ergonomics of this educational game for seniors and fourth, the results of validation and the recommendations from the seniors for improving the ergonomic conditions.

# 1 DESCRIPTION OF PROBLEM AREA

The aging population represents a serious challenge for healthcare systems and social insurance in the 21st century. By 2050, one in five people in the world will be 60 years of age or older (Akitunde [5]). These aging seniors are facing the decline of their physical and cognitive abilities, loss of long-term companions and social support, changes in their familial or professional environment, different lifestyles, and the increased likelihood of developing chronic and disabling diseases. But what are they doing to improve their quality of life? Can educational games help them effectively meet the challenges of aging?

An increasing number of studies have demonstrated that games can have a positive impact on seniors: digital games can provide physical training for seniors and can help them overcome their isolation (Rosenberg et al [6]; Diaz-Orueta et al [7]; Astell [8]). Digital games can also offer many potential benefits to seniors in a motivating and playful way (Bleakley et al [9]). Very few rigorous experiments have been conducted, and our current knowledge about the needs of seniors suggests that today's commercial games and new technologies pose usability challenges for many seniors (Buiza et al [10]; De Schutter & Abeele [11]; Hwang et al [12]). These studies also show that the effects of these games depend on the needs and individual characteristics of seniors and that systems need to be developed that are capable of adapting to the demands of this population. An inappropriate design can act as a barrier to seniors' use of games, thus reducing the games' physical, cognitive and social benefits and consequently seniors' health and quality of life (Whitlock et al [13]). It is therefore important to ensure that games offered to seniors have appropriate ergonomics.

In the case of online educational games, the ergonomist develops solutions that inform and guide the user while minimizing the cognitive and technological information load as much as possible (Barnard et al [3]). A technology will be appropriated if the person is attracted to using it, and its user-friendliness must be appropriate for the user: the technology must not be too difficult to use.

In order to establish the ergonomic indicators of online games for seniors, we rely on three quality criteria: 1) the design: the components of the game must adapt to the characteristics of the users, 2) user-friendliness: the game interface and computer equipment must be easy to use, and 3) readability: the way in which the text, illustrations and videos are visually presented must facilitate reading and understanding by users.

We now examine how we addressed these criteria during the educational adaptation and computerization of the Bingo game for seniors.

## 2 THE ADAPTATION OF THE BINGO GAME

Following a study done with a sample of 317 seniors (aged 55 and over) in the Quebec concerning their gaming habits, a majority of them have told us that they regularly play digital and non-digital games. According to a survey (Kaufman, Sauvé, Renaud & Duplaa [14]), the game Bingo turns out to be the most mentioned game by respondents. Now, we look at how the structure and content of this popular game have been adapted to create an educational online game for seniors.

### 2.1 The Game Design

An increasing number of studies advocate that it is necessary to create a design specifically tailored to players from the 'baby boomer' generation. Various aspects of the Bingo game have been adapted in terms of design to create an educational game for seniors

#### 2.1.1 Challenge

The game must maintain a constant challenge for the players (Marston & Smith [15]; Shang-Ti et al [16]). In our "Live Well, Live Healthy!" game, we have put in place mechanisms (Fig. 1B) that allow players to choose from three levels of difficulty at the start of the game. These levels are based on the seniors' knowledge about the learning content (easy, medium and difficult) of the game (Fig. 1A): easy corresponds to 75 % of the content which refers to the prior knowledge of the seniors; medium is 50 % and difficult is 25 %. We also integrated into the game mechanics Bonus balls that are drawn at random during the game, thus reducing the gap between the strong and weak players (easy = 3, medium = 2 and difficult = 1).



Fig.1. Main page interface of the game

### 2.1.2 Competition

The game must create competition among seniors to maintain their interest (Kickmeier-Rust et al [17]; Marin et al [18]; Ogomori et al [19]; Shang-Ti et al [16]; Theng et al [20]; Kim et al [21]; Whitlock et al [13]). In our “Live Well, Live Healthy!” educational game, we first determined it would take three participants or more to create competition between seniors. We gave the opportunity to vary the duration of the game by allowing players to choose how the game ends and thus decide the playing time: a complete row of vertical boxes requires less time than a full card or the contour of the card (Fig. 2A). Similarly, players choose the degree of difficulty (easy, medium and difficult) before starting the game. We opted to display the scoring system in the game interface at all times for each player (Fig. 2B). Then we inserted points that reward or penalize the player according to whether they answer the question correctly or not, which in turn allows the player to place a token in one of the boxes on the card. The penalty is 50% less than the gain in order to maintain the interest of players, in particular, for those who have little knowledge about the content to be learned. Finally, we changed the rules that determine the winners and losers in the context of an online game without a game master: the first player to click on the Bingo button (Fig. 2C) after correctly placing their tokens on the Bingo card wins 50 points. Players who have a “Bingo” at the same time but were not fast enough to click on the “Bingo” button first, only receive 25 additional points. Should a player click on the Bingo button and not have their tokens placed correctly, the game continues and the player loses 25 points.



Fig. 2. Point system

### 2.1.3 Learning Content

To ensure effective learning, the game must incorporate learning content while maintaining a balance between learning time and play time to maintain the player's interest (Szilas & Sutter Widmer [22]; Sauvé [23]). In our “Live Well, Live Healthy!” game, we have built a mechanism to display a question every time the number of a ball drawn at random is on a card of one or more players. If the player answers the question correctly, a token appears in the box and the player earns points (20 points for

an easy question, 30 points for a medium question and 50 points for a difficult question). If the player does not correctly answer the question, the token will not appear in the box and the player loses half of the points allocated to the question. We prepared 40 questions instead of 75 (the number of balls) to ensure that these questions come at least twice during a game whose aim is to complete a full card.

### 2.1.4 Feedback

The game must provide feedback to support the learning of the defined content. Immediate feedback, related to each learning task, allows the player to identify successful activities and those they have failed (Callari et al [24]; Gerling et al [25]; Lopez-Martinez et al [26]; Marston & Smith [15]; Senger et al [27]; Wu et al [1]). In our “Live Well, Live Healthy!” game, we have integrated visual feedback in the question card window; it uses a smiley or sad face to communicate the results of game (Fig. 3A) and textual and audible feedback to explain the correct answer (Fig3. B-C). A tutorial is also included to guide the seniors as the game progresses. Players can open or close the tutorial at any time with a single click. At the end of a game, each player’s total points are listed, where achievement is highlighted with the sound of applause and players are ranked according to their ability to correctly answer questions and carry out learning activities. The game must allow players to see what they have learned by providing an overview of the results of the game’s learning activities. When the game is over, each senior can see their learning process in a personalized environment.




Fig.3. Question Cards

## 2.2 The game’s user-friendliness

Studies have found that the problems seniors have with the use of technology are most frequently associated with user-friendliness and could be solved by an appropriate design of the screen display and game navigation.

### 2.2.1 The display screen of the game and the learning activities

The board, tokens, navigation buttons, instructions, score and rules must be displayed and accessible to ensure that the game runs smoothly (Lopez-Martinez et al [26]; Sauv e [28]; Whitlock et al [13]). In our “Live Well, Live Healthy!” game, we restricted the display size of the game board to the smallest resolution used by our target audience: 1024X768. For screens with larger dimensions, we inserted a background of the same colour as the background of the board and programmed the display so that the board is positioned in the center of the screen. This window is always visible regardless of other superimposed windows that may appear. A second window may appear superimposed onto the game board. It contains questions, answers, feedback, the tutorial or the rules of the game. The size of this window is variable but always smaller than the board.

### 2.2.2 Navigation in the game

The game should provide easy navigation and must meet certain conditions (Barnard et al [3]; Braun [29]; Connolly [30]; Ogomori et al [19]; Nicolau & Jorge [31]; Pham & Theng [4]; Wu et al [1]). In our “Live Well, Live Healthy!” game, we divided the game interface into three zones: a) the Bingo card, rules, and tutorial; b) information on the game’s progress: the type of game, randomly drawn ball, and the Bingo button for ending the game; and c) information related to the actions of players: players’ names and their scores as well as the control of the microphone and chat. At all times, the board, tokens, navigation buttons, instructions, score and rules must be displayed and accessible to ensure that the game runs smoothly. All player actions are done using single clicks. We opted for buttons with words rather than symbols for ease of use by seniors who were not born in the digital age. Finally, we limited the number of superimposed windows to a maximum of two. When a second window appears in the center of the screen, the game board will be grayed out and become inactive.

## 2.3 Educational Readability for Multimedia

By readability, we mean the way in which a text, an illustration or a video is visually presented (formatting) in order to make reading and understanding easier for users. A readable interface is an indispensable component of any digital product (Ergolab [32]), particularly one intended for seniors. Educational games must meet certain formatting criteria for text, videos and illustrations.

### 2.3.1 Text

The layout of the text on the screen must facilitate reading and viewing (Gerling et al [25]; Melonio et al [33]). In our “Live Well, Live Healthy!” game, the text is left-justified and the only font used is Arial (12 pts for regular text and 14 pts for headings). This font is the most commonly used on the web, as it provides users with the best readability. Upper case is only used for headings on the site to facilitate navigation. The vocabulary used in our rules, instructions, questions and feedback were adapted to our target audience. Experts and our target group validated our adaptation via game trials.

### 2.3.2 Illustrations

The use of illustrations must be relevant to the content of the game (Lopez-Martinez et al [26]; Shang-Ti et al [16]). In our “Live Well, Live Healthy!” game, we included mechanisms to facilitate the viewing of images. Players may enlarge illustrations to full screen with a simple click of the mouse. We also tested the length of display time for illustrations using various types of connections: low, medium and high speed. Computer display time in all cases was immediate. Finally, we assessed the usefulness of each image illustrating game questions by the inter-rater method.

### 2.3.3 Sound

Use of spoken texts can motivate seniors (Lopez-Martinez et al [26]; Marin et al [18]; Sauvé [28]). In our “Live Well, Live Healthy!” game, we integrated a virtual voice so players may listen to the questions, rules and instructions, instead of reading them, therefore facilitating game play for seniors who suffer from visual impairments. Sound control is always available and associated with a button to activate or mute the sound, thus giving players full control over sound features.

## 3 METHODOLOGY

In order to validate the “Live Well, Live Healthy!” game, we used the Learner Verification and Revision (L.V.R.) method. This method focuses on the user, is characterized by flexibility and is well adapted to the context in which the product will be used (Nguyen et al [24]). It allowed us to identify and correct errors and problems (Thulal [35]; Maddrell [36]) and to effectively validate a prototype in the course of development with a sample of the target users for whom it was created. This method, also known as a user trial, has also been used in educational games development research (Sauvé [37]). The process involves validating the prototype through a sample of the target audience in order to measure its effectiveness. For validation of the game’s ergonomics, we chose a sample of 27 seniors aged 55 and over and who were retired.

In addition to the demographic data, knowledge of the Internet and online gaming, and the type of computer equipment - 8 statements on the ergonomics of the online educational game were evaluated using a short online Likert scale questionnaire. Efficiency of design - 16 statements - covers competition, challenge, learning content and feedback. User-friendliness - 17 statements - refers to

the instructions and rules linked to navigation within the communication tools and the ease of carrying out actions as well as the display size and the layout of the web game. Educational readability - 8 statements - focuses on the vocabulary used and the visual treatment of the text, photos and videos. Each ergonomic aspect also included one open-ended question. An observation grid filled out by an auxiliary researcher completes the qualitative data.

Participants in the validation process were informed of the research and signed a consent form. All measurement scales were found to possess satisfactory psychometric characteristics. Overall, the analysis shows that the scales used were adequate because for the vast majority they explain beyond 50% of the variance of the measured variables and their accurateness indices are beyond 0.60, which is sufficient for an exploratory study.

## **4 RESULTS**

There were 27 seniors who participated in the experiment of which 56% were women and 44% were men. Those aged 55-59, or 33%, formed the largest group of respondents, followed by those aged 60-64, or 26%, then those aged 65-69, or 22% and finally those aged 70-74, or 19%. All participants are independent and live at home or in an apartment. They evaluate their computer skills as intermediate (59.3%), beginner (29.6%) and expert (11.1%). The vast majority (92.6%) have played Bingo. More than two-thirds of them use tablets (iPad or Android) and less than half (40.7%) use a smartphone. Finally, less than half (44.4%) play games on the internet.

### **4.1 Design**

Respondents identified that playing against other people (4.5 / 5), having the choice of duration (4.0 / 5) and how the game ends (3.9 / 5), obtaining points or not as they answer correctly or not (4.3 / 5) helps maintain competition (4.28 / 5) among the players. Integrating Bonus balls (4.27 / 5) and the choice of the difficulty level of the questions (4.0 / 5) maintains a sense of challenge (4.13 / 5) throughout the game. As for the learning content (4.05 / 5), respondents indicate that the game takes into account their prior knowledge (4.35 / 5) to help them earn points and that the questions were not too difficult (4.0 / 5), most have opted for the Easy level, and that the repetition of the questions (3.92 / 5) in the game more or less helped them to earn points. Regarding the feedback mechanisms (4.32 / 5), they consider that the feedback for their incorrect answers to questions helped them to correct themselves (4.35 / 5), the smiley or sad faces quickly informed them about their performance regarding the question (4.38 / 5), the tutorial informed them on actions to be performed during the game (4.26 / 5) and that having access to a summary of their right or wrong answers helped them to measure what they have learned and allowed them to review any incorrect answers to the questions (4.29 / 5).

### **4.2 User-friendliness**

In terms of the display (4.37 / 5), respondents indicate that animations add interest to the game (4.2 / 5), that the game board (4.4 / 5) and the questions (4.4 / 5) are displayed within the screen, the Rules (4.3 / 5) and Tutorial (4.3 / 5) buttons are visible on the game interface and easily accessible, the positioning of the tutorial in the center of the game allows them to read the instructions properly (4.3 / 5), the score of the players is well located (4.6 / 5) to track the progress of the players, the Bingo button is well located in the game (4.7 / 5) and finally, that the button for the microphone is more or less visible (3.9 / 5). Regarding navigation (4.1 / 5), the game requires little computer knowledge from the seniors (4.1 / 5), the tutorial (4.1 / 5) and rules (4.0 / 5) helps them to understand how the game works and the rules (4.0 / 5) are available at all times with a single click. With respect to the controller used to play the game (4.1 / 5), the keyboard (4.1 / 5) and mouse (4.4 / 5) are easy to use during the game while the touch screen (3.9 / 5) is a little less easy.

### **4.3 Educational readability**

The text (3.97 / 5), the size (4.1 / 5) and the colour (4.1 / 5) of the characters for the words found on the card and the tutorial allows for easy reading; however, the font size of the questions (3.7 / 5) seem less easy to read. The respondents felt that the language is appropriate (4.1 / 5). Regarding the sound (4.0 / 5), the respondents noted that it is audible whether for the rules or the questions and that the sound control button is easy to use. Finally, the images are large enough to be well seen on the screen and the length of time for them being displayed is instantaneous on all computers (4.3 / 5).

## 5 RECOMMANDATIONS

Various recommendations were drawn from the observations and qualitative data of the questionnaire to improve the game.

**Challenge.** Respondents suggest adding a second Bingo card to increase their chances to win, to set a response time to reduce the wait between the drawing of two balls when the player does not have the number on his card, to display a message when a player has correctly answered all questions from a difficulty level to encourage the player to move to a higher level, to readjust the message that says that players must click on the Bonus number on their card corresponding to the Bonus ball in order to avoid making the other players wait and thus crippling the game until the player executes the action.

**Competition.** Respondents have a diverging view on the time allotted for answering the questions: according to the rhythm of the players or a timed response. Some players take a long time to respond, which may slow the pace of the game and reduce the motivation of the waiting players. Several players suggested reducing the minimum number of players to two instead of three, which would allow them to play as a couple or with one of their grandchildren.

**Learning content.** Respondents have conflicting opinions concerning the repetition of questions during the game; for some it is viewed as a good way to winning more points and for others, it reduces competition.

**Feedback.** Respondents recommend displaying a message inviting them to view their results (good and bad responses) when the game is over; this consultation would help them perform better during future games.

**Display.** Players suggest increasing the size of the Rules and Tutorial buttons to make them more visible to some respondents. They suggest adding a message to the tutorial explaining their location and operation. They suggest moving the display of the Bonus ball from the center to the right of the interface to allow players to view the entire Bingo card as well as being able to click in the O column. They find that it would be important to know the name of the player who created the gaming session, especially when there are multiple games being played at the same time because they do not know which game to join.

**Navigation.** Respondents propose including in the first message of the tutorial explaining the choice of the level of difficulty and how the game ends that you need to click the Continue button to activate the game. This action is not intuitive for some players. They recommend eliminating the message, "This number is not on your card" when the player does not have the number on the card, and consider it an unnecessary message. They suggest adding a message to the tutorial explaining how the Bonus ball works. Players understand they cannot answer a question or gain points if the number on the ball is a Bonus ball they have previously won on their card. Finally, they suggest adding an explanation for the Microphone button as soon as the game starts.

**Text.** Respondents recommend increasing the font size of the words in the questions by at least 2 pts or include a button allowing them to magnify the question screen.

**Illustrations.** Respondents suggest increasing the color contrast of the buttons (Join, Start Game) in order for them to be easily seen and read on the screen.

**Sound.** Players suggest slightly accelerating the speed of the virtual voice to improve listening.

The recommendations of the respondents were taken into account and have been included in the pedagogical and IT revisions of the game.

## CONCLUSION

The Web is much more user friendly for younger generations who are accustomed to its multitasking aspect and its user commands than for seniors who literally have to learn a new communication language. In order to improve the quality of life for seniors through an online educational game, we designed the "Live Well, Live Healthy!" game for seniors with the help of the generic shell of the educational game of Bingo, which has been developed by taking into account the ergonomic conditions that we identified from the literature; for references and more detail, see <http://cvje2concepteur.savie.ca>. This game was validated with 27 seniors using the LVR method. While recognizing the testing limitations, the seniors were recruited through name suggestions from



the students and members of the team (family and friends) and through word of mouth among their friends, the positive results of validation will allow us to review the games and to experiment on them in the autumn of 2014 with seniors (n=150) in both French and English.

When ergonomic conditions are included during the design stage, online educational games become easier to use and thus the costs to correct problems can be avoided. In other words, good ergonomics will increase the degree to which a specific group of users are able to play a game and effectively accomplish tasks; therefore, ensuring that good results are obtained for the satisfaction of users in a specific usage context. Display selection, fonts, screen element organization, navigational elements, visual, audio and text formatting in the game interface, as well as rules and tutorials all play an important role in ensuring that the educational game is adapted to the specific needs of seniors, and ensure that the game environment is user-friendly, useful, simple and motivating.

In conclusion, we can only hope that online games for seniors multiply so that seniors can also have the opportunity to enjoy the Internet as do their children and grandchildren. It is a nice way to simultaneously reduce the digital and generational divide.

### Acknowledgements

Thanks to Samuel Venière, research assistant for the testing, Elizabeth Parent and Louis Poulette, computer technicians for the development of the online game and Curt Ian Wright for the translation of the text.

### REFERENCES

- [1] Wu, Q., Miao, C., Tao, X., & Helander, M.G. (2012). A curious companion for elderly gamers. Paper presented at the Network of Ergonomics Societies Conference (SEANES), Southeast Asian, Langkawi, Kedah, 9-12 July, pp.1-5. DOI : 10.1109/SEANES.2012. 6299597.
- [2] Benhamou, B. (2014). Libre Blanc : Seniors et tablettes interactives. Délégation aux Usages de l'internet. France : Ministère de l'enseignement supérieur et de la recherche. Et Ministère chargé de l'industrie, de l'énergie et de l'économie numérique. Available : <http://www.enssib.fr/bibliotheque-numerique/documents/49496-seniors-et-tablettes-interactives-livre-blanc.pdf>
- [3] Barnard, Y., Bradley, M.D., Hodgson, F., & Lloyd, A.D. (2013). Learning to use new technologies by older adults: Perceived difficulties, experimentation behaviour and usability. *Computers in Human Behavior* 29(4), pp. 1715-1724.
- [4] Pham, T.P., & Theng, Y.L. (2012). Game controllers for older adults: experimental study on gameplay experiences and preferences. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the International Conference on the Foundations of Digital Games (pp. 284-285), New York.
- [5] Akitunde, A. (2012, October 2). Aging population: 10 things you may not know about older people. The Huffington Post. Available : <http://www.huffingtonpost.com>
- [6] Rosenberg, D., Depp, C.A., Vahia, I.V., Reichstadt, J., Palmer, B.W., Kerr, J., Norman, G., & Jeste, D.V. (2010). Exergames for subsyndromal depression in older adults: A pilot study of a novel intervention. *American Journal for Geriatric Psychiatry* 18(3), pp. 221-226.
- [7] Diaz-Orueta, U., Facal, D., Herman Nap, H., & Ranga, M.M. (2012). What Is the Key for Older People to Show Interest in Playing Digital Learning Games? Initial Qualitative Findings from the LEAGE Project on a Multicultural European Sample Games for Health 1(2), pp.115-123.
- [8] Astell, A.J. (2013). Technology and fun for a happy old age. In A. Sixsmith & G. Gutman (Eds). *Technologies for Active Aging*. New York: Springer.
- [9] Bleakley, C.M., Charles, D., Porter-Armstrong, A., McNeill, M.D.J., McDonough, S.M. & McCormack, B. (2013). Gaming for Health: A Systematic Review of the Physical and Cognitive Effects of Interactive Computer Games in Older Adults. *Journal of Applied Gerontology* XX(X), pp. 1-24.
- [10] Buiza, C., Soldatos, J., Petsatodis, T., Geven, A., Etxaniz, A., & Tscheligi, M. (2009). HERMES: Pervasive computing and cognitive training for ageing well. In S. Omatu et al. (Eds.), *IWANN '09 Proceedings of the 10th International Work-Conference on Artificial Neural Networks, Part II:*



Distributed Computing, Artificial Intelligence, Bioinformatics, Soft Computing, and Ambient Assisted Living (pp.756-763). Berlin: Springer-Verlag.

- [11] De Schutter, B. (2011). Never Too Old to Play: The Appeal of Digital Games to an Older Audience. *Games and Culture* 6(2), pp. 155-170.
- [12] Hwang, M.Y., Hong, J.C., Hao, Y.W., & Jong, J.T. (2011). Elders' Usability, Dependability, and Flow Experiences on Embodied Interactive Video Games. *Educational Gerontology* 37(8), pp.715-731.
- [13] Whitlock, L.A., McLaughlin, A.C., & Allaire, J.C. (2011), Video Game Design for Older Adults: Usability Observations from an Intervention Study. *Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society Annual Meeting* 55(1), pp.187-191.
- [14] Kaufman, D., Sauvé, L., Renaud, L., & Dupl a, E. (2014). Enqu te aupr s des a n s canadiens sur les b n fiques que les jeux num riques ou non leur apportent [Survey of Canadian seniors to determine the benefits derived from digital games]. Research report, Qu bec: T LUQ, UQAM, Simon Fraser University, University of Ottawa.
- [15] Marston, H.R. & Smith, S.T. (2012) Interactive Videogame Technologies to Support Independence in the Elderly: A Narrative Review, *Games for Health Journal* 1(2), pp.139 – 152.
- [16] Shang-Ti, C., Huang, Y.G.L., & Chiang, I.T. (2012). Using Somatosensory Video Games to Promote Quality of Life for the Elderly with Disabilities. Paper presented at IEEE Fourth International Conference on the Digital Game and Intelligent Toy Enhanced Learning (DIGITEL), 27-30 March.
- [17] Kickmeier-Rust, M., Holzinger, A., & Albert, D. (2012). Fighting Physical and Mental Decline of Elderly With Adaptive Serious Games. [Article]. *Proceedings of the European Conference on Games Based Learning*, pp. 631-634.
- [18] Marin, J.G., Navarro, K.F., & Lawrence, E. (2011) .Serious Games to Improve the Physical Health of the Elderly: A Categorization Scheme. Paper presented at the CENTRIC 2011, The Fourth International Conference on Advances in Human-oriented and Personalized Mechanisms, Technologies, and Services. Available : [http://www.thinkmind.org/index.php?view=article&articleid=centric\\_2011\\_3\\_20\\_30056](http://www.thinkmind.org/index.php?view=article&articleid=centric_2011_3_20_30056).
- [19] Ogomori, K., Nagamachi, M., Ishihara, K., Ishihara, S., Kohchi, M. (2011). Requirements for a Cognitive Training Game for Elderly or Disabled People. Paper presented at International Conference on the Biometrics and Kansei Engineering (ICBAKE), September 19-22.
- [20] Theng, Y.L., Chua, P.H., & Pham, T.P. (2012). Wii as entertainment and socialisation aids for mental and social health of the elderly. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 2012 ACM annual conference extended abstracts on Human Factors in Computing Systems Extended Abstracts.
- [21] Kim, H., Sapre, V. et Do, E.Y. (2011). Games for Health: Design Cognition-Focused Interventions to Enhance Mental Activity. *Proceedings of HCI* (23), pp. 415-419.
- [22] SZILAS, N. et ACOSTA, M. (2011). A Theoretical Back ground for Educational Video Games: Games, Signs, Knowledge, In: (Felicia, P., ed.) *Handbook of Research on Improving Learning and Motivation through Educational Games: Multidisciplinary Approaches*, IGI Global.
- [23] Sauv , L. (2010a). Effective Educational Games. In D. Kaufman, & L. Sauv  (Eds), *Educational Gameplay and simulation environments, Case Studies and Lessons Learning* (pp. 27-50), New York, Hershey: IGI Global.
- [24] Callari, TC, Ciairano, S., & Re, A. (2012). Elderly-technology interaction: accessibility and acceptability of technological devices promoting motor and cognitive training. *Work* 41, pp.362-369.
- [25] Gerling, K. M., Schulte, F. P., & Masuch, M. (2011). Designing and evaluating digital games for frail elderly persons. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Advances in Computer Entertainment Technology. Gerritsen, D. L., Steverink, N., Frijters, D. H. M., Ooms, M. E., & Ribbe, M. W. (2010). Social well-being and its measurement in the nursing home, the SWON-scale. *Journal of Clinical Nursing* 19(9-10), pp. 1243-2151.

- [26] Lopez-Martinez, A., Santiago-Ramajo, S., Caracuel, A., Valls-Serrano, C., Hornos, M.J., & Rodriguez-Fortiz, M.J. (2011). Game of gifts purchase: Computer-based training of executive functions for the elderly. Paper presented at 1st International Conference on the Serious Games and Applications for Health (SeGAH), IEEE, Nov 16-18.
- [27] Senger, J., Walisch, T., John, M., Wang, H., Belbachir, A., Kohn, B., Smurawski, A., Lubben R.-Z & Jones, G. (2012). Serious Gaming: Enhancing the Quality of Life among the Elderly through Play with the Multimedia Platform SilverGame. In R. Wichert & B. Eberhardt (Eds.), *Ambient Assisted Living* (pp. 317-331): Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- [28] Sauv e, L. (2010b) Usability Guidelines for a Generic Educational Game Shell. In D. Kaufman, & L. Sauv e (Eds), *Educational Gameplay and simulation environments, Case Studies and Lessons Learning* (pp. 390-4000), New York, Hershey: IGI Global.
- [29] Braun, M. T. (2013). Obstacles to social networking website use among older adults. *Computers in Human Behavior* 29(3), pp. 673-680.
- [30] Connolly, C. (2013). Education Programs for Seniors: Aligning Accessibility Options with Needs. Paper presented at the Society for Information Technology & Teacher Education International Conference 2013. Available: <http://www.editlib.org/p/48803>.
- [31] Nicolau, H., & Jorge, J. (2012). Elderly text-entry performance on touchscreens. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 14th international ACM SIGACCESS conference on Computers and accessibility.
- [32] Ergolab (2003). *Faciliter la lecture d'informations sur le web* [Facilitating the lecture with information on the web], Available : from <http://www.ergolab.net/articles/faciliter-lecture-informations-web.html>.
- [33] Melonio, A., Tarantino, L., & Mascio, T. (2012). Towards ICT Support for Elderly Displaced People: Looking for Natural Gestures. In M. De Marco, D. Te'eni, V. Albano, & V. Za (Eds), *Information Systems: Crossroads for Organization, Management, Accounting and Engineering* (pp. 135-143), Physica-Verlag HD.
- [34] Nguyen, T., Chang, V., Chang, E., Jacob, C., & Turk, A. (2008). "A contingent method for usability evaluation of Web-based learning systems", In K. McFerrin, R. Weber, R. Carlsen, & D. A. Willis (Eds), *Proceedings of the Society for Information Technology & Teacher Education Annual International Conference (19th International Conference of SITE 2008)* (pp. 579-585), Chesapeake, VA: AACE.
- [35] Thulal, A.N. (2003) Application of Software Testing in E-Learning, Delhi, Dept. of Information Technology, Amity School of Engineering and Technology, Available: <http://www.jmi.nic.in/Events/witsa2003/AmritNathThulal.pdf>.
- [36] Maddrell, J. (2008). Article Review, IDT 848 Evaluation Study Abstracts, Old Dominion University, Available: [designedtoinspire.com/drupal/files/ArticleSummary%20Maddrell.doc](http://designedtoinspire.com/drupal/files/ArticleSummary%20Maddrell.doc), October.
- [37] Sauv e, L. (2010c). Validation of a Generic Educational Game Shell. In D. Kaufman, & L. Sauv e (Eds), *Educational Gameplay and simulation environments, Case Studies and Lessons Learning* (pp.401-415), New York, Hershey: IGI Global.